

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.
(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

MM10

5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Object

object

6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

n/a

No

7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

unsound

8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Not positively prepared

Justified

Effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to a proposed main modification).

The figure of 68% at line 8 of the amended paragraph is wrong (if the Council's other proposed Main Modifications are accepted). MM77 at page 168 puts this figure at 65.9%. The Council does not seem to have picked this up in the Additional Amendments. We have not seen a fully marked up copy of the Core Strategy and it may be that further drafting anomalies and cross-reference corrections have been picked up there.

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Change the figure of 68% at line 8 to 65.9%. or otherwise recalculate so that the figures for the Regional City of Bradford in MM 45 and in MM 77 match.

11. Signature:

 Finnigan
For and on behalf of
the Tong and Fulneck
Valley Association

Date: 17 January 2016

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.